



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,031	11/26/2003	Eric S. Bornstein	borne40587	8863 .
7590 10/06/2005			EXAMINER	
Simona A. Levi-Minzi, Ph.D.  McDermott Will & Emery LLP			BUMGARNER, MELBA N	
201 South Biscayne Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 2200 Miami, FL 33131			3732	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	No. Applicant(s)				
	10/723,031	BORNSTEIN, ERIC S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melba Bumgarner	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_,					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Tuper No(s)/Mail Date						

Application/Control Number: 10/723,031 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: page 1 of the declaration is missing.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 1, 3 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities: "An" should read -A— and recitation of "said mechanical cutting, scraping and/or grinding" lacks sufficient antecedent basis in claim 1, "said curetter" should read -said curette—in claim 3, "on" should read -one—in claim 8. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jacoby (5,328,365). Jacoby discloses a dental instrument comprising a hollow shank 14 having a rearward fitting, a forward head 16 including a contact region 28 and a window 46, the contact region being adapted for scraping, a source of laser energy (column 14 line 1), the window being transmissive with respect to the laser energy, a fiber optic bundle (column 14 line 6) extending from the source through the fitting and shank, the instrument enabling simultaneously to scrape

Application/Control Number: 10/723,031 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

and use laser energy (column 6 line 55) as best seen in figure 2a. Jacoby shows the contact region in the form of a sickle, curette, hoe, chisel, or file (column 2 line 52).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacoby in view of Alexander (6,561,802). Jacoby discloses a dental instrument that shows the limitations as described above; however, Jacoby not show the energy is produced by a solid state diode laser in the low infrared spectrum of 600 nm to 1100 nm. Alexander teaches a dental instrument comprising laser energy produced by a solid state laser diode 2 radiating in the range of 600 nm and 670 nm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the laser device of Jacoby with the laser diode of Alexander in order to use a laser device that is small in dimensions and can be easily integrated into an instrument in view of Alexander. Furthermore, it is noted that the laser diode is not positively claimed. It would have been an obvious matter of choice to one of ordinary skill in the art as to the specific range of laser energy, in that the claimed values are disclosed as those of conventional laser diodes currently on the market. Jacoby discloses process of performing dental procedure using the dental instrument.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,031 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Chou et al. (6,039,565) is cited to show the state of the art with respect to a dental

instrument.

8.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to

Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be

reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jelda Burgainer

Melba Bumgarner

**Primary Examiner**